



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,384	04/06/2005	Frank Neumann	HH 304-KFM	4881
10/37 7590 09/04/2008 MILDE & HOFFBERG, LLP 10 BANK STREET SUITE 460 WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606				
EXAMINER				
NDUBIZI, CHUKA CLEMENT				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3749				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/04/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/530,384

**Applicant(s)**

NEUMANN ET AL.

**Examiner**

CHUKA C. NDUBIZU

**Art Unit**

3749

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHUKA C. NDUBIZU.(3) Karl Milde.(2) Steve McAllister.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-23.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,167,218.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Interview was requested by the Applicant. Differences between prior art and the claimed invention were discussed. In particular we discussed suggested amendments that may distinguish the invention over the prior art of record. Upon submission of proper amendment, the application would be updated including possible further search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chuka C Ndubizu/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3749

/Steven B. McAllister/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749